UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO		
10/567,339	11/30/2006	Yoshitaka Hara	285598US2PCT	5325	
	7590 11/24/200 AK, MCCLELLAND I	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE STREET			PILAPITIYA, NALIN B		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2617			
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			11/24/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/567,339	HARA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
NALIN PILAPITIYA	2617		

	NALIN PILAPITIYA	2617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 10 November 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be f	iled within two months	of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains the first proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by the first proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by the first proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by the first proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by the first proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by the first proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by the first proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by the first proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection by the first proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection by the first proposed amendment filed after a final rejection by the first proposed amendment filed after a final rejection by the first proposed amendment filed after a final rejection by the filed after a final rejection by the filed after a final rejection by the filed after a f	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a control NOTE:, (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		insolv filod onsondnsor	ot consoling the				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>21-24</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea , and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ✓ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>8/4/09 a</u>	nd 11/10/09.					
/Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez/							
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because Trikkonen meets claim 21 argued byt the Applicant as follows: Claim 21 recites, in part:

determining, based on the received control information (paragraph 322; "provides weights to the different beams which take into account for example the condition of the channel"; paragraph 147 and 148 "This information may be based either on measurements at the transmitter or feedback information from the receiver to the transmitter... The beamforming circuitry may carry out any of the above embodiments dependent on the control signal W [W contains the weights]" the determination of the weights is based on the control infmarion that is received through feedback from the receiver to the transmitter), a first weight for one of the plurality of information signals with respect to the plurality of antennas (paragraph 140; "The complex weight factor w may be different for different antenna array elements" each antenna has a different weight associated with it), and a second weight factor w may be different for different antenna array elements" each antenna has a different weight associated with it):

generating a first operation result by multiplying the one of the plurality of information signals by the first weight, and generating a second operation result by multiplying the another one of the plurality of information signals by the second weight (paragraph 322, 155, and equation 10; equation 10 shows that the transmission beams matrix Nb, containing a plurality of transmission beams or information signals, is multiplied by the weights W, containing a plurality of weights); and

generating, based on the first operation result and the second operation result, a plurality of the output signals each corresponding to one of the plurality of antennas, and transmitting the plurality of the output signals to the system of the communiction partner (equation 10, paragraph 155 and 153; equation 10 shows that the output signals, CWH +Ni, are transmitted and received by the matrix R, received signals).

Therefore, the Final Rejection is maintained by the Examiner.